

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7396-2010

Date of Decision: December 24, 2015

Sanjiv Kumar Gupta

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

- 1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?***
- 2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?***
- 3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?***

Present: Mr.R.K.Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Pawan Gaur, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.I.S.Saggu, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

.....

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case before learned trial Court is at the stage of Section 239, Cr.P.C.

In view of the provisions contained in Section 294, Cr.P.C., he would place on record certain documents and

thereafter argue the case under Section 239, Cr.P.C. for discharge of the accused, in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the State as well as learned counsel representing respondent No.2-informant has no objection to the above prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to pursue his case in accordance with the provisions contained in Sections 239 and 294, Cr.P.C., before the learned Trial Court in accordance with law.

December 24, 2015
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE