

201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37138 of 2014

Date of decision: August 17, 2015

Simran

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Kuldip, Advocate for
Mr. A.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.P.S. Sidhu, AAG Punjab.

SABINA, J

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking anticipatory bail in complaint No.R-323-2/30.05.2012/08.12.2012 titled as “Harmit Singh versus Simran and another”, under Section 138 (b) and 142 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881.

While issuing notice of motion, following order was passed by this Court on 26.11.2014:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been implicated in the case because of her husband, who is also accused in the case, whereas, the petitioner has no concern with the money transaction done between her husband and respondent No.2. He further submits that some of the cheques were taken by husband of the petitioner and the petitioner was having no knowledge as to for what purpose, the same were used. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner was residing

separately from her husband and no service was effected upon her. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner never received any summon and the service upon her was effected at the residence of her husband and she was not aware about the Court proceedings. The petitioner is ready to surrender before the trial Court and to abide by all terms and conditions to be imposed by this Court or by the trial Court.

Notice of motion for 06.01.2015.

However, in case, the petitioner surrenders before the trial Court on or before 09.12.2014, she shall be released on interim bail to its satisfaction.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is also directed to place on record the zimini orders and also the affidavit to the effect that how the service was effected upon her.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance to the above order, petitioner has surrendered before the trial Court and has furnished the necessary bail bonds.

Accordingly, interim bail furnished by the petitioner in terms of the order dated 26.11.2014 before the trial Court, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

August 17, 2015
mahavir