

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37022-2015
Date of decision:30.10.2015

Mohammad Furkan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.H.S.Dhindsa, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Petitioner, by way of instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., is seeking direction to the official respondents to conduct an early and effective investigation in FIR No.123 dated 27.8.2015 registered under Sections 4 and 6 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 at Police Station Pasiana, District Patiala and also seeks protection to his life and liberty at the hands of private respondent No.5.

After arguing the case for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the purpose of seeking status report on the investigation, petitioner may be granted liberty to approach the Illaqa Magistrate.

So far as the second prayer made by the petitioner regarding protection to his life and liberty is concerned, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that when the genuine grievance of the petitioner was not being redressed, he finally approached the respondent authorities by way of self-contained representation dated 4.10.2015 (Annexure P-2), but the same is also pending decision. He further submits that the petitioner will be satisfied in case respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide his representation, within a reasonable

time

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala-respondent No.2, is directed to look into the matter, consider the grievance of the petitioner including threat perception raised in his representation dated 4.10.2015 (Annexure P-2) and decide the same at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law but in any case within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Respondent No.2 is further directed to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioner as well as his family members, at the hands of private respondent No.5 or anybody else on his behalf. So far as the relief regarding seeking of status report on the investigation is concerned, petitioner would be at liberty to approach the Illaqa Magistrate, at the first instance.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the present petition stands disposed of.

30.10.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE