

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36980-2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: December 8, 2015

Rajan Verma

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr.G.P.S.Bal, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr.A.S.Virk, APP, UT, Chandigarh.

.....

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 438, Cr.P.C., is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, Rajan Verma, who has been booked for having committed the offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471, IPC, in a case arising out of FIR No.169, dated 24.09.2015, registered at Police Station, Sector 3, U.T., Chandigarh.

On 30.10.2015 at the time of issuance of notice in the present petition, learned counsel for the petitioner has made the following statement:-

“Learned counsel contends that graduation certificate

was not a condition precedent for getting a licence/certificate from the Ministry of Overseas and as such, there was no occasion for the petitioner to enclose the alleged forged photostat copy of the graduation certificate, issued by Panjab University.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from SI Balram Singh, Police Station, Sector 3, UT, Chandigarh, submits that for getting a licence/certificate from the Ministry of Overseas, graduation certificate is a condition precedent. He further submits that on the basis of forged graduation certificate, the petitioner has applied for issuance of a licence/ certificate from the Ministry of Overseas and, as such, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner would facilitate the Investigating Agency to unearth the fact as to where from and in what manner the forged certificate was obtained.

In view of the above, this Court is of the considered opinion that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary to unearth the modus of procuring the false graduation certificate of the petitioner.

Dismissed.

December 8, 2015
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE