

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Cr.M.No.M-3696 of 2015 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 10.3.2015

Aafin and another

PETITIONERS

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

1. Whether reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present:- Shri Mohd.Arshad, Advocate for the petitioners.

Shri Ashish Yadav, Additional A.G. Haryana.

Petitioner No.1 in person with
Ms.Ashima Mor, A.P.P. for U.T.Chandigarh.

Shri Satish Chaudhary, Advocate for respondents 4 and 5.

Shri Rajesh Lamba, Advocate for respondent-9.

MAHESH GROVER, J.

The petition is full of mis-statement of facts. When confronted with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for permission to withdraw

the petition.

A perusal of the petition would indicate an assertion by the petitioners about their marriage which is seriously disputed by respondents 4 to 8 who contend that petitioner No.2 is already married and has four children from the wedlock. Similarly, the parents of petitioner No.1 also question the marriage on the ground that petitioner No.1 is barely 16 years of age. It is precisely what petitioner No.1 has stated before this Court.

This Court would have ordinarily burdened the petitioners with exemplary costs and proceeded against them for making mis-statement of facts, but noticing that the petitioners wish to withdraw the instant petition, they are permitted to do so.

In so far as the threat perception is concerned, no Court can shirk its responsibility to make appropriate directions in the event of such a prayer being made before it. Threat perception to an individual is an assessment in the domain of the police officials responsible for maintaining law and order.

Therefore, this petition is disposed of with appropriate directions to the S.S.P. concerned to look into the threat perception of the petitioners and to ensure that no untoward harm is caused to them.

By virtue of the earlier orders, this Court had directed petitioner No.1 to remain in Nari Niketan. She is thus, liberated from the consequences of the earlier orders and is directed to be released.

A copy of this order be given Dasti under the signatures of the Special Secretary of the Court.

March 10, 2015
GD

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE