

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 39748 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.02.2015

Surjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Akshay Jain, Advocate/Amicus Curiae,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the present petition under Section 482 read with Section 427 of the Code of Criminal Procedure received through jail, the petitioner seeks issuance of directions with a prayer that both the sentences awarded to the petitioner by various trial Court in different cases and on different dates be ordered to run concurrently.

It is contended that the petitioner has already completed the substantive period of his sentence in all the cases and now he is undergoing imprisonment in default of payment of fine, levied in difference cases.

The learned State counsel states that there were four FIRs

against the petitioner, in which, he is undergoing imprisonment in default of payment of fine. Otherwise, he has completed his actual sentence.

In **Jang Singh** Vs. **State of Punjab**, 2008(1) RCR (Crl.)

323, it is held as under:-

“Para No.4 Direction to make the sentences to run concurrently can be exercised by the trial Court or by the appellate Court or a revisional Court at the time of exercising appellate or revisional jurisdiction as well. It may not be open for a person to seek such direction for making the sentences to run concurrently by moving an application under Sections 482 and 427 of Criminal Procedure Code.”

In view of the above, no case for the relief sought is made out, under the provisions of Section 482 or 427 of the Code.

Dismissed.

19.02.2015

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE