

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36987-2014

Date of decision: 11.12.2015

Central University of Haryana and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

Regional Officer, Dharuhera Region

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Sumeet Goel, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Anita Balyan, Advocate and
Mr. Maheshinder Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the respondent.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Admittedly, petitioners have not availed their equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order, before filing the present petition.

In view of the above, petitioners are relegated to their alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order, at the first instance, however, with liberty to the petitioners to approach this Court by a similar quashing petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., if necessity arises.

It is also made clear that if the petitioners would file the appropriate revision petition against the impugned summoning order, respondent shall not raise the issue of limitation against the petitioners.

Disposed of, accordingly.

**[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE**

11.12.2015
vishnu