

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.36823 of 2015
Date of Decision : 15.12.2015**

Poonam

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. R.K. Dadwal, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of warrant dated 14.10.2015 issued by respondent No.2 whereby the petitioner has been directed to be produced before him from legal custody of her father under Section 97 of Cr.P.C.

Parties are present in person. The respondent No.4 states that he wants to meet the petitioner for 5 minutes. The petitioner has refused to meet him by stating that she does not want to have any interaction with the respondent No.4. The respondent No.4 further states that he and the

petitioner had eloped and got married but in case the petitioner has now reconsidered and does not want to stay with him he would also agree to the same and undertakes not to oppose the annulment of marriage petition filed by the petitioner at Hamirpur. The petitioner also states that in case the respondent No.4 does not oppose the petition for annulment of marriage she undertakes that she and her parents would not take any action against the respondent No.4. In this view of the matter, the learned counsel for the respondent No.4 also states that he would have no objection if the impugned order is set aside.

Consequently, the petition is allowed in the above terms. The impugned order is set aside.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

December 15, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**