

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

238

CRM-M-39597-2013

Decided on : 13.08.2015

Mahesh Bansal

... Petitioner

Versus

Krishan Bansal

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present : Mr.R.D.Rattewal, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr.Aakashdeep Singh, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Hari Pal Verma, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482, Cr.P.C. for quashing of complaint case No.438/29.10.2005/25.03.2008 under Sections 420, 468, 467, 406, 323, 452, 506, IPC and summoning order dated 31.08.2006 (Annexure P/2) and framing of charge under Sections 406, 323, 506, IPC vide order dated 13.03.2013 (Annexure P/3) passed by learned Addl.Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak and order dated 15.10.2013 (Annexure P/4) passed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Rohtak.

Mr.Aakashdeep Singh appearing on behalf of the respondent states that since the petitioner has been acquitted by the trial court vide judgment dated 10.08.2015, the present petition is rendered infructuous.

Faced with the aforesaid development, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

[Hari Pal Verma]
Judge

13.08.2015
sd