

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-36769 of 2015

Date of decision: 04.11.2015

Maan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. H.S. Rakhra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Shamsher Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in case FIR No.43, dated 25.06.2015, registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B, 199, 200 and 201 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC'), at Police Station City 2 Mansa, District Mansa.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case. The petitioner is not named in the FIR. In fact he stood surety in FIR No. 67 dated 28.08.2013, in which he is on bail. The petitioner is nothing to do with the present FIR.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the

prayer of bail and states that the petitioner is a habitual offender and involved in number of other FIRs. The challan stands presented, however, the charges are yet to be framed.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

The allegations against the petitioner is of furnishing fabricated documents i.e. jamabandi towards surety. As per the custody certificate filed today in the Court, the petitioner is involved in as many as 07 similar other FIRs. Considering the antecedents of the petitioners, this Court feels that no case for bail is made out at this stage.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

04.11.2015

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE