

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-36572 of 2015

Date of decision: 03.11.2015

Paramjeet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in case FIR No.667, dated 07.09.2015, registered under Section 135 of the Electricity Act, 2003, at Police Station Anti Power Theft, District Ludhiana.

It is contended that the petitioner is in custody since 09.09.2015. It is a magisterial trial. Even the challan has not been presented till yet.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the prayer of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Keeping in view the custody period and the fact that the challan has not been presented till yet, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on her furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate, Ludhiana.

03.11.2015

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE