

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

PARAMJIT KAUR SAINI
2015.02.25 09:28
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-36640 of 2014

Date of Decision: 24.02.2015

Sunil Kumar and another

---Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana

---Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate
for the petitioners

Ms. Neelam Kashyap, D.A.G. Haryana
for the respondent-State

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

REKHA MITTAL, J.

Counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners have joined investigation in compliance with order passed by this Court. They are ready to face proceedings in accordance with law.

Counsel for the State has conceded to the factual assertion and states that custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required.

In view of the above, petition is allowed and interim bail granted by this Court is made absolute subject to the conditions incorporated under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

**(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE**

24.02.2015
PARAMJIT