

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36538 of 2015

Date of decision : 29.10.2015

Naseem Ahmed and anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Vandana Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Luthra, AAG, Haryana
assisted by HC Sanjay

Mr. Mohd. Arshad, Advocate for respondent Nos.4 to 6

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are major and there is no legal impediment to their marriage. They have solemnized marriage. Their marriage is opposed by respondent Nos.3 to 6, who are the family members of petitioner No.2.

The learned State counsel informs that representation (Annexure P-4) for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners has not been received.

Copy of the petition, along with annexures, has been

handed over to the learned State counsel in the Court.

Without expressing any opinion with regard to validity of marriage and on the merits of the assertions made in the petition, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Mewat, Haryana, to consider and decide the representation dated 18.10.2015 (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and provide necessary protection to them, if he is satisfied that the life and liberty of the petitioners is threatened at the hands of respondent Nos.3 to 6, in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

29.10.2015

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE