

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36526-2015

Date of Decision: November 18, 2015

Sunita and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

- 1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?***
- 2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?***
- 3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?***

Present: Mr.S.S.Duhan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Sanjay Kumar Saini, AAG, Haryana.

Respondent No.2-Karamjit in person.

.....

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 438, Cr.P.C.,
is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, Sunita and
Nilesh Kumar, who have been summoned to face trial for the
offences punishable under Sections 120-B, 420 and 506, IPC, by
learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Jind, in a complaint case
bearing No.408-1/17.03.2015 titled as “**Karamjit vs RMC**”

Inteyaaz & Ors.”

In compliance of the notice issued vide order dated 28.10.2015, Mr.Sanjay Kumar Saini, AAG, Haryana, has put in appearance on behalf of the State while respondent No.2-Karamjit is present in person.

It has been urged before this Court that petitioners were the employees in the office of Resham Marketing and Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. being run by Mohd.Imtiaz, the co-accused of the petitioners. The petitioners had no *mala fide* intention to commit cheating or extend threats to the aggrieved persons. Learned counsel for the petitioners has also submitted that during an enquiry by the police under Section 202, Cr.P.C., the allegations levelled by respondent No.2 were not substantiated. He further contends that the petitioners are ready to appear before the learned summoning Court and face trial, as per law.

In view of the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, the present petition is accepted and it is directed that in the event of appearance of the petitioners before learned Summoning Court on or before the date fixed, they shall be admitted to bail subject to their furnishing bail bonds to its satisfaction.

The petitioners shall continue to appear before the

learned Summoning Court/trial Court on each and every date of hearing unless ordered otherwise.

November 18, 2015
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE