

**254 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-36557 of 2014
Date of Decision: 30.01.2015**

JASPAL SINGH AND OTHERS Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Arnav Sood, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Jashanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. M. D. S., Advocate for
Mr. J. B. S. Gill, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Report of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hoshiarpur has been received, to the effect that he has recorded the statements of Vikramjeet Kaur (complainant) and petitioners, Harjit Kaur (mother-in-law), Jaspal Singh (father-in-law), Jaswinder Singh (husband). The statements of Gurinder kaur and Tajinder Singh were also recorded on 22.12.2014 through Video Conference at 3.00 p.m. The Chief Judicial Magistrate has reported that parties have compromised the matter at their own accord and the same appears to be voluntary and genuine.

A perusal of the FIR shows that basically it was a matrimonial dispute. Since the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, therefore, the complaint No.17 dated 28.02.2007, under Sections 498-A, 406, 420 of the Indian Penal Code, pending in the Court of Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Hoshiarpur along with the summoning order dated 01.06.2010 and the other consequential proceedings stand quashed.

Petition is allowed accordingly.

30.01.2015

rittu

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE