

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.39288-2013

Date of Decision: 11.05.2015

BIMLESH

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Nitin Goel, Advocate
for Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

Mr. P.K. Ganga, Advocate
for respondent Nos.3 and 4.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that this petition has become infructuous as the protest petition has been filed before the trial Court.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 11, 2015

SwarnjitS