

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
208 CRM-M No.3642 of 2015
Date of Decision:19.02.2015
Harwinder Kumar @ BhimaPetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MEHINDER SINGH SULLAR.

Present: Mr.Ashok Giri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

**Mr.Jaspreet Singh Sekhon, Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab, for the respondent-State.**

MEHINDER SINGH SULLAR, J.(oral)

As is evident from the record that, the first petition bearing CRM-M No.23643 of 2013 and second petition bearing CRM-M No.43384 of 2013 for regular bail filed by the petitioner, were dismissed as withdrawn, by means of orders dated 24.07.2013 & 11.04.2014 by this Court.

Now, the petitioner has again preferred the instant third petition for regular bail.

After arguing the matter for some time, when this Court was not inclined to entertain the instant third petition for regular bail, in the case of recovery of commercial quantity under the NDPS Act, then learned counsel for the petitioner again intended to withdraw the instant petition, at this stage.

Dismissed as withdrawn, as prayed for.

At the same time, the interim orders of the trial Court, perused.

Taking into consideration the fact of repeatedly non-production of prosecution witnesses, the trial Court is directed to finally decide the main case expeditiously.

February 19, 2015
seema

(MEHINDER SINGH SULLAR)
JUDGE