

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-36385 of 2015 (O&M)**

Date of decision: 21 October, 2015

Baljinder Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Harminder Singh, Advocate for  
Mr. Ravish Bansal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gopal Singh Nahel, Advocate  
for respondent No.4 Bhola Singh/ father of petitioner No.1.

\*\*\*\*

**INDERJIT SINGH, J.**

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty and to provide adequate security to protect them from respondents No.4 to 7, who are father, brother, brother-in-law and cousin brother of petitioner No.1. The petitioners, who are present in Court today, further contend that they being major have solemnized marriage with their own consent in accordance with law. The private respondents are not accepting the marriage of the petitioners and are extending threats to them. The copy of affidavit of petitioner No.1 regarding her date of birth (Annexure P-1), copy of educational certificate of petitioner No.2 (Annexure P-2), marriage photographs (Annexure P-3), copy of representation dated 19.10.2015 (Annexure P-4) and affidavit of petitioner No.1 (Annexure P-5) have been placed on record.

Without going into the validity of the marriage and without saying anything regarding the age of the petitioners, the present petition is considered only for the purpose of protection of life and liberty of the petitioners. This petition is disposed of accordingly with the directions to the petitioners to contact respondents No.2 and 3, who, after their satisfaction that the petitioners are actually facing threat, shall take necessary steps to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from private respondents No.4 to 7, as per law.

However, it is made clear that this order, in no way, will affect any other proceedings pending or to be filed against the petitioners etc., which are to be dealt with independently as per law.

October 21, 2015  
rajesh.k.khurana

**(INDERJIT SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**