

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 36336 of 2015

Date of decision : October 30, 2015

Pawan Kumar,

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. VK Sandhir, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl. AG Punjab

1. **Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.126, dated 31.8.2015, registered under Sections 420/120-B of the IPC, at Police Station Gate Hakiman, Amritsar.

On 21.10.2015 the following contentions were noticed :-

“ Learned counsel argues that role of the petitioner is only of having introduced the parties and he had no knowledge that there is any defect in the title.”

Learned Addl. AG Punjab states that the petitioner knew that there was a defect in the title but has fairly accepted that there is no evidence which may have emerged to that effect.

In these circumstances, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the

concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Resultantly, this petition is allowed and it is directed that in the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Investigating Officer to his satisfaction subject to the compliance of the provisions as contained in Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

October 30, 2015

`kk'

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**