

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36253-2015

Date of Decision: December 18, 2015

Sunil Somani

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

- 1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?***
- 2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?***
- 3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?***

Present: Mr.Dimple Sharma, Advocate for
Mr.Avnish Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Pawan Gaur, DAG, Haryana.

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Vinod Kumar, Police Station, Mujjessar, District Faridabad, are *ad idem* that in compliance of the order dated 20.10.2015 passed by this Court, the petitioner did appear before the learned trial Court and was admitted to ad-interim bail vide order, dated 26.11.2015.

In view of the totality of the facts and circumstances of

the case, interim directions issued vide order dated 20.10.2015
are made absolute.

The petitioner shall continue to appear before
learned trial Court on each and every date of hearing unless
ordered otherwise.

December 18, 2015
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE