

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36233-2015

Date of Decision: December 18, 2015

Naresh Chhikara

.....Petitioner

Versus

Sanjay Kumar and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

- 1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?***
- 2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?***
- 3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?***

Present: Mr.A.S.Cheema, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Pawan Gaur, DAG, Haryana.

.....

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

None has put in appearance on behalf of respondent
No.1 despite service.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in
compliance of the order, dated 20.10.2015, the petitioner did
appear before learned Additional Sessions Judge, Bhiwani, and
was admitted to ad-interim bail vide order, dated 28.10.2015. In
support of his submissions the learned counsel has produced the

certified copy of the order, dated 28.10.2015, which is taken on record. A copy thereof has also been supplied to the learned counsel for the State.

Learned counsel for the State after going through the above order, dated 28.10.2015, has fairly conceded that the petitioner did appear before the Court below and was admitted to ad-interim bail.

In view of the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, interim directions, issued vide order, dated 20.10.2015, passed by this Court are made absolute.

The petitioner shall continue to appear before the learned trial Court on each and every date of hearing unless ordered otherwise.

December 18, 2015
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE