

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36137 of 2015 (O&M).
Decided on:-08.12.2015.

Indraj.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. A.P. Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Hardeep Singh Dhillon, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of F.I.R. No.1219 dated 23.12.2014 under Sections 406, 420, 468 and 471 IPC registered at Police Station Sirsa City, District Sirsa (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 09.10.2015 (Annexure P-2).

This Court vide order dated October 19, 2015 had directed the

parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their statements recorded and the trial Court was directed to submit its report about the genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant to the aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sirsa and have got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded by the parties, learned Magistrate has submitted his report dated 4.11.2015 to the effect that the compromise effected between the parties appears to be voluntarily and genuine, without any coercion, pressure and undue influence.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Dariya Singh, does not dispute the factum of compromise.

Similarly, learned counsel for respondent No.2 also admits the factum of compromise. Vakalatnama filed on behalf of respondent No.2 is also taken on record.

In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant F.I.R.

Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, this petition is allowed and F.I.R. No.1219 dated 23.12.2014 under Sections 406, 420, 468 and 471 IPC registered at Police Station Sirsa City,

District Sirsa (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom is quashed, on the basis of compromise dated 09.10.2015 (Annexure P-2).

December 08, 2015
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE