

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

118

**CRM-M No.36066 of 2015
Date of Decision:- 19.10.2015.**

Paramjit Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. K.S. Hissowal, Advocate for the petitioners.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking direction to the Government of Punjab/respondent No.2 to conduct enquiry in fair manner at their own and regarding false case registered against the petitioners and their other men vide FIR No. 181 dated 10.10.2015 under Sections 307, 323, 148, and 149 IPC, Police Station Ghall Khurd, District Ferozepur. Allegations are that there was misunderstanding between petitioners-Labourers and private respondents No.5 and 6 and others in respect of discharge of agreement of labour contract, which resulted in clash. Due to which complaints have been registered and consequently FIR has been filed. The petitioners stated that unnecessarily they have been harassed by the police at the instance of respondents No.5 and 6 as they are politically influential people etc. In this regard, the

petitioners have submitted representation to 2nd respondent on 12.10.2015 vide Annexure P-7.

2. The petitioners are satisfied if a direction is given to the 2nd respondent to consider the representation dated 12.10.2015 vide Annexure P-7. Therefore, I direct the 2nd respondent to consider Annexure P-7 and to proceed with investigation in accordance with law ignoring influence of any third party in the matter and to take a decision at the earliest and give appropriate directions to respondents No.3 and 4 to conduct fair investigation or proceedings.

3. Respondents No.3 and 4 should keep in mind that the state power is used for proper and not for improper purposes. The concerned authority is not misguided by extraneous or irrelevant considerations. Fairness is also a principle to ensure that statutory authority arrives at a just decision. To use the time hallowed phrase “that justice should not only be done but be seen to be done” is the essence of fairness equally applicable to administrative authorities. Fairness is thus a prime fact for proper and good administration.

4. Accordingly, petition is disposed of.

19.10.2015
pooja saini

(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE