

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-36041 of 2015

Date of decision: 30.10.2015

Dalbir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. M.S. Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sagar Aggarwal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in case FIR No.112, dated 08.08.2015, registered under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC'), at Police Station Sarhali, District Tarn Taran.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case on account of civil litigation pending between the main accused Sukhdev Singh and respondent No.2/complainant. The petitioner has nothing to do with the present FIR.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel as well as

the learned counsel for respondent No.2 oppose the prayer of bail and states that the petitioner has played an active role in the present FIR. He along with his brother i.e. main accused Sukhdev Singh impersonated the complainant/respondent No.2 and duped a huge amount of Rs. 20 lacs, from the complainant in lieu of registration of sale deed in his favour. Neither the sale deed was executed nor the amount of Rs.20 lacs was returned to the complainant/respondent No.2.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

The petitioner along with his brother Sukhdev Singh in a deceitful manner has duped a huge amount from the complainant/respondent No.2. Keeping in view the role of the petitioner and the fraud committed, no case for bail is made out.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

30.10.2015

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE