

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.36040-2015
Date of decision : 30.10.2015**

Azaz @ Mullah

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr.Ashok Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Singh Pannu, DAG, Haryana.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case F.I.R. No.20 dated 21.01.2015 registered under Sections 323/342/347/386/506/392/397/120-B IPC and Sections 25/54/59 of Arms Act at Police Station Bhondsi, District Gurgaon.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner has been in custody since 23.01.2015.

Learned Deputy Advocate General on instructions from ASI Attar Singh states that the offence is very serious and consequently out of 20 witnesses, 11 main witnesses have been examined and only 09 formal witnesses remain to be examined and undertakes that the prosecution will lead its entire evidence within three months subject to the petitioner not obstructing the same and till that time the bail should not be granted to the petitioner.

I find this to be a fair submission. In the circumstances, in view of the undertaking given by the learned Deputy Advocate General, it is directed that in case the prosecution does not lead its entire evidence within three months (subject to the accused not obstructing the same) the trial Court shall release the petitioner on bail to its satisfaction.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

30.10.2015

Pooja Sharma-I

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**