

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 36109 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision : September 10, 2015

Reenu,

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana,

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. SK Garg Narwana, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Karan Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana

Mr. Vivek K Thakur, Advocate
for the complainant.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No.160, dated 2.5.2013, registered under Section 302 of the IPC, at Police Station Panipat Sadar, District Panipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that contrary to the assertion made on the last date, even on 7.9.2015 the eye witness Anil was not examined.

Learned counsel for the complainant as well as DAG Haryana have argued that the eye witness Anil could not be examined on 7.9.2015

because there was a death in his family and he will definitely be examined on 18.9.2015.

In the circumstances, it is directed that the petitioner be released on bail after the testimony of the aforesaid Anil to the satisfaction of the trial Court. If, however, the said witness does not appear on 18.9.2015, which is the next date, the petitioner would be released on bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

The petition stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

September 10, 2015
`kk'