

**In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana
at Chandigarh**

-.-

**CRM M 36 of 2015
Date of Decision: 02.02.2015**

Harro alias Harender

---Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

---Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: Mr. Bijender Dhankar, Advocate
for the petitioner

Ms Neelam Kashyap, DAG, Haryana
for the respondent-State

-.-

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in FIR No. 123 dated 18.03.2014 for offence punishable under Sections 394, 397 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code registered in Police Station Hodal, District Palwal.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the material witnesses in the case namely Bharat Lal son of Hardev, complainant and Manoj son of Tek Chand, the alleged eye witness have been examined, but they failed to connect the petitioner with the crime. It is further submitted that there is no other criminal case registered against the petitioner and he is ready to face proceedings without any default as conclusion of trial may take some time.

Counsel for the State of Haryana has not disputed factual assertions.

I have heard counsel for the parties and perused the records.

Without meaning to express any opinion on merits of the controversy, bail to petitioner namely Harro @ Harender on his furnishing personal/surety bonds subject to satisfaction of the learned trial Court. However, he shall abide by the following conditions:-

- (i) he shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and
- (ii) he shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

05.02.2015
mohan