

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-35991 of 2015
Date of Decision:-19.10.2015**

Raman Kumar Jain and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to ensure that the petitioners are not harassed at the behest of respondent No.4 i.e. Superintendent of Police (Vigilance), Amritsar who is a relative of respondent No.6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners and respondent No.6 have some business transactions but since respondent No.4 is related to respondent No.6, pressure is being mounted on the petitioners to involve them in some criminal cases.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. P.S. Madahar, AAG Punjab,

accepts notice on behalf of State. Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to hand over a complete set of paper book to learned State counsel today in the Court.

Considering the fact that the marriage of the daughter of the petitioners No.1 and 2 is fixed for 21.10.2015, the present petition is disposed of and it is directed that if the petitioners are proceeded against before 22.10.2015, notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C. for at least two days be served upon them.

It is made clear that the aforesaid order has been passed in peculiar facts and circumstances of the case as the marriage of the daughter of the petitioners is fixed on 21.10.2015.

October 19, 2015
Vijay Asija

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE