

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Crl.Misc.No.M-36060 of 2014

Date of Decision : 13.3.2015

Rajiv Soni

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

....

Present : Mr.Anter Singh Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. PJS Hundal, A.A.G. Punjab.

.....

MAHESH GROVER, J.

 Contentends that there are no specific allegations of demand of dowry and that the present complaint is counter-blast to the proceedings initiated under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act. Further contends that the petitioner has joined the investigation pursuant to the order dated 30.10.2014.

 Even though the petitioner contends so, learned counsel for the respondent has stated that gold articles are yet to be recovered.

 Be that as it may, the fact has not been denied that the petitioner has joined the investigation. If that is so, then considering that the dispute has arisen out of a marital discord and the allegations in the FIR are lacking in specifics, I would

deem it appropriate to affirm the interim directions granted by this Court on 30.10.2014 but subject to the condition that the petitioner continues to comply with the provisions under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands allowed.

13.3.2015

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

dss