

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.35958 of 2014

Date of decision: 6th October, 2015

Kiran Vaid and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurveer Sidhu, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Today accused Prince Vaid has appeared and has filed his affidavit to vouch for the credibility of statement made earlier during compromise on his behalf by his mother Kiran Vaid and that he stands by the statement and the compromise was arrived at which was made in his absence while he was abroad. The same is taken on record on oral prayer.

Report dated 27.11.2014 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st

Class, Malerkotla has been received whereby after recording

statements of complainant Priya Verma and the accused persons namely Kiran Vaid, Sham Sunder Vaid and Prince Vaid, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, being a pure matrimonial dispute, the fact that compromise which has been duly vouched for by accused Prince Vaid, husband of the complainant, will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.94 dated 23.11.2012 (Annexure P1) registered at Police Station Ahmedgarh, District Sangrur under Sections 406/498A/120B IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

October 6, 2015
rps