

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-35894 OF 2014 (O&M)
DECIDED ON : 19.02.2015**

Kuldip Singh

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. C. PURI

Present : Mr. A. S. Kalra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

K. C. PURI, J. (ORAL)

As per allegations of the prosecution, the petitioner gave datar blow which hit on the lower part of the right leg of complainant and the said injury was declared greivous in nature falling within the ambit of Section 326 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner was earlier allowed the concession of bail. It is a case of cross-version. So, the petitioner is entitled to concession of anticipatory bail.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Rajinder Singh, has stated at the bar that the weapon of offence is yet to be recovered.

From the perusal of record, it is revealed that the petitioner was simply allowed to go as the offence was bailable at that time. The offence is punishable with life imprisonment.

So, in view of the above, no ground for grant of anticipatory bail is made out.

Dismissed.

FEBRUARY 19, 2015
shalini

(K. C. PURI)
JUDGE