

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3580 of 2015
Date of Decision: 26.03.2015

Amarjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Ms. Ishma Randhawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Kler, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. R.S. Rai, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Rahul Bhargav, Advocate
for the complainant.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.154 dated 05.07.2014, under Section 302, 307, 324, 323, 506, 148, 149 IPC and 25/27/54 of Arms Act, Police Station Ajnala, District Amritsar.

CRM-M-3580 of 2015

The FIR in question came to be registered at the instance of Harpal Singh. The relevant extract of the FIR in the context of role attributed to the petitioner reveals that Gurmej Singh, Baldev Singh, Gurmej Singh and Amarjit Singh raised *Lalkara* in loud voice to the effect that today is the appropriate occasion to teach complainant side a lesson. Further perusal of the FIR does not shown any other role on the part of the petitioner whether he inflicted any injury to any of the person of the complainant party.

As against this, learned counsel for the complainant submits that the petitioner being member of an unlawful assembly was responsible for misdeeds of all. Learned counsel further submits that co-accused in this case have further acted with all evil design on 29.08.2014 when injured witness namely Prabhdeep Singh was fired by one of accused Sulakhan Singh resulting in lodging of FIR and accused namely Pargat Singh and Karamjit Singh (who are still at large) were also involved in an incident of giving beatings to one of the witness namely Jagdeep Singh.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Harpal Singh reiterated the stand of learned counsel for the complainant and submits that the petitioner being a member of an unlawful assembly should not be let off.

This Court finds that there is no specific attribution against the petitioner except alleged *Lalkara*.

CRM-M-3580 of 2015

In view of aforesaid, it is ordered that the petitioner be released on regular bail on furnishing adequate bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Amritsar.

However, nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

26.032015.
sachin

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE