

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CRM M-35847 of 2014

Date of Decision: January 9, 2015

Gursharan Singh @ Sharanjit Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

-.-

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. C.S. Brar, DAG, Punjab.

-.-

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners are brother-in-law and sister-in-law of Surinder Kaur, complainant, who was married on May 29, 2009 to Harjit Singh, younger brother of petitioner No.1. Petitioners have been attributed a role of having taunted the complainant alongwith other co-accused, for demanding sum of Rs.3 lacs. The specific role which has been attributed to petitioners is that petitioner No.1 had allegedly caught-hold of the complainant while petitioner No.2 pulled her hairs while the husband gave beatings to the complainant on her head.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners, State counsel and gone through the record brought by ASI Sarwan Singh. A perusal of the report indicates that the petitioners have got separate residence from that of the complainant.

Petition has been opposed by the State counsel, inter-alia on the ground that gold ring has been recovered from the petitioner.

In view of the above circumstances, the petitioners can be granted the concession of bail as it does not appear to be a case of custodial interrogation.

Petition is allowed and it is ordered that in case of arrest of the petitioners they will be released on bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer subject to the conditions that they will join investigation as and when required by the police and they will not tamper with the evidence or hamper the investigation in any manner.

January 9 , 2015
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE