

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 35709 of 2015(O&M)

Date of Decision : 02.11.2015

Joni alias Prdeep Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Anter Singh Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr.Sanjay Malik, Advocate for the complainant.

- i. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- ii. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- iii. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This is a petition for regular bail filed in case bearing FIR No.565 dated 04.11.2013, under sections 148, 149, 307, 323, 506, 302,m 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Sector 5, Panchkula, District Panchkula.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the bail application of similarly situated co-accused namely Rishi bearing CRM-M No. 18861 of 2015 was disposed of on 03.09.2015 and the petitioner would be satisfied if he is granted parity with the said accused. Learned counsel for the complainant and learned AAG are not in a position to show as to why

the petitioner should not be granted parity with the similarly situated co-accused.

Consequently the petition is dismissed at this stage. However, the trial Court is directed to grant same treatment to the petitioner as has been granted to co-accused Rishi.

Since the main case has been decided, the Criminal Misc. Application, if any also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

November 02, 2015
sunita