

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc.No.M-35647 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05th November, 2015

Pardeep alias Pappu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Kamal Mor, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, AAG, Haryana,
for the respondent(s)-State.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C., seeking regular bail in case FIR No.09 dated 08.01.2014, registered at Police Station Sadar, Bahadurgarh, under Sections 302, 449, 34 IPC and Section(s) 25/27 of the Arms Act.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Anmol Malik, AAG, Haryana, has accepted notice on behalf of respondent(s)-State and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, this Court finds that though the petitioner is not named in the FIR but as per investigation, .32 bore revolver was recovered from him and as per Forensic Science Laboratory

report, the said weapon has been used in the occurrence or it is connected with the occurrence. It is a double murder case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, nature and gravity of the offence and the fact that there is every possibility if the petitioner is released on bail he may abscond and also temper with the evidence, no ground to grant the benefit of regular bail to the petitioner, is made out.

Accordingly, finding no merit in the instant petition, the same is dismissed.

05th November, 2015
mamta

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE