

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-35576 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 15.10.2015

Manoj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and anr.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

The learned counsel contends that deliberately the address of the petitioner is wrongly reflected by the complainant with intention to harm the interest of the petitioner. The petitioner is in fact resident of H. No.19/2, College Road, Sant Nagar, Gurdaspur, whereas service effected upon the petitioner at the address i.e.House No.628 Ward No.14, Sant Nagar, Gurdaspur which is neither owned by the petitioner nor ever occupied by the petitioner. The petitioner who is a government employee has been declared proclaimed offender vide order dated 07.08.2015 (Annexure P-4).

Keeping in view the assertion raised by the learned counsel, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 07.08.2015 (Annexure P-4) is set aside.

However, in case the petitioner causes representation before the learned trial Court within a week from today, he shall be admitted to bail on his furnishing fresh bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

15.10.2015

ashok

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**