

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

119

Criminal Misc. No.M-35574 of 2015

Date of decision: October 15, 2015

Kamalpreet Kaur Gandhi and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Ms. Harpinder Kaur Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The present petition has been filed for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners as they have solemnized marriage contrary to the wishes of private respondents and are apprehending threat at their instance.

Petitioners, in person, are present in the Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have also moved a representation dated 12.10.2015(Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Patiala for protection but no action has been taken thereupon. He also submits that the petitioners would be satisfied, in case, the directions are issued to respondent No.2 to take action on the representation dated 12.10.2015(Annexure P-5).

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, notice on behalf of the State has been accepted by Mr. Rupam Aggarwal, D.A.G., Punjab.

Keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Commissioner of Police, Patiala to consider the representation dated 12.10.2015(Annexure P-5) moved by the petitioners and take necessary action in accordance with law and in case, any serious threat is there, then protection be granted to the petitioners.

Disposed of accordingly.

October 15, 2015
sonia g.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE