

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-No. 3545 of 2015

Date of decision: December 04, 2015

Hukam Singh and others

-----Petitioner (s)

V/s

State of Punjab and others

-----Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. K.S.Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer made in the present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 and 2 to protect the life, liberty and property of the petitioners and their family members from the hands of respondents No. 3 to 6, who are otherwise pressurizing the petitioners to withdraw FIR No.256 dated 12.11.2008 under Sections 406/420 IPC, registered at Police Station City, Kotrakpura, Distt. Faridkot(Annexure P-1) and FIR No.133, dated 27.07.2013 under Sections 406, 409 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station City, Kotrakpura, Distt. Faridkot(Annexure P-2), registered against the accused/respondents No.4 to 6.

Reply dated 28.09.2015, by way of Affidavit of Baljit Singh Sidhu, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Div. Kotrapura has already been filed on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

As per the reply, as far as FIR No.256, dated 12.11.2008 is concerned, an enquiry was conducted into the allegations contained in the FIR

and as per findings given by the Enquiry Officer, the matter involved in this FIR pertains to monetary transaction between the commission Agent and farmers. As such the matter is predominantly of civil nature regarding the settlement of accounts and to make payment of balance amount. As such Enquiry Officer recommended for cancellation of the FIR No.256.

Regarding FIR No.133, the police has also prepared a cancellation report and now both these reports are pending before the Court of learned Illaqa Magistrate, Faridkot for its acceptance.

In view of the aforesaid categorical stands taken in the reply, no direction is required to be issued in the present petition and the petitioner is at liberty to avail the remedy available to him under the law.

Disposed of.

December 04, 2015
Anjal

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE