

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-39199-2012 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 02.07.2015

Paramjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Iqbal Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

- 1. *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?***
- 2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?***
- 3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?***

Present: Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. V.K. Shukla , Advocate
for the respondent.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to raise all the contentions taken herein before the trial Court at appropriate stage.

Darshan Singh co-accused is also facing trial. As prayed by learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner would be exempted from personal appearance by the trial Court on moving the application with the following undertakings:-

“(i) that her advocate shall appear on her behalf on each

and every date of hearing and the evidence recorded in her absence would be acceptable to her;

(ii) undertaking of her counsel to appear on each date of hearing to defend her case;

(iii) she shall not dispute her identity and shall appear before the trial Court as and when directed to do so; and

(iv) she shall comply with any other condition(s) that may be imposed by the learned trial Court.

Petitioner would, however, be at liberty, if permissible, to file appropriate petition in case the evidence comes that the petitioner does not hold the account for which the cheque was issued.

With the aforesaid directions, the instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

(R.P. Nagrath)
Judge

02.07.2015
sk