

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M- No.3532 of 2015

Date of decision: 29.10.2015

Charanjit Singh

-----Petitioner (s)

V/s

State of Punjab

-----Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Sarju Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gobind Rana, Advocate for the complainant.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer made in the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 124, dated 23.11.2014, for offence punishable under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Women, District Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the parties jointly submits that during the pendency of the present petition, the parties have entered into a compromise. A joint affidavit of the parties dated 29.10.2015 has been filed in the Court, which is taken on record. As per the compromise/affidavit dated 29.10.2015, complainant, namely, Sonika wife of Charanjit Singh has agreed to join the society of

petitioner/husband, namely, Charanjit Singh along with minor children at her matrimonial house at village Karnana, District SBS Nagar. She has further agreed that minor children will complete the present academic session at Ludhiana, where they are presently pursuing their studies and will pursue their further studies from the next academic session i.e. 2016-17 in district S.B.S. Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner in order to create sense of security of the children, has placed on record three different FDRs, in the name of minor children amounting to Rs.75,000/- each.

Learned counsel for the parties has further submitted that as stated in the compromise/affidavit dated 29.10.2015, the parties have started residing as husband and wife along with their minor children at matrimonial house village Karnana, District S.B.S. Nagar. At present there is no dispute between the parties.

Learned counsel for the State, as well as learned counsel for the complainant does not dispute the aforesaid fact.

Taking into consideration the aforesaid development, the present petition is allowed and order dated 02.02.2015 passed by this Court, is made absolute.

However, petitioner shall continue to join investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the terms and conditions, as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

October 29, 2015
Anjal

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE