

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.35300-2015
Date of decision : 20.10.2015**

Smt.Savita

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr.Deepak Sonak, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case F.I.R. No.159 dated 28.06.2014 registered under Sections 302/506/34 IPC at Police Station Nangal Chaudhary, District Mohindergarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner has not been attributed the fatal injuries and has been in custody for more than one year and four months.

Learned Deputy Advocate General on instructions from ASI Rajinder Kumar states that the trial is at the fag end and it will be appropriate to put a time cap as only three official witnesses remain to be examined who have been summoned for 10.11.2015. She further states that in case the petitioner does not obstruct the trial the prosecution will lead its entire evidence on or before 30.11.2015 and till such time bail should not be granted to the petitioner.

I find this to be a fair submission. In the circumstances, in view of the undertaking given by the learned Deputy Advocate General, it is directed that in case the prosecution does not lead its entire evidence on or before 30.11.2015 (subject to the accused not obstructing the same) the trial Court shall release the petitioner on bail to its satisfaction.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

20.10.2015

Pooja Sharma-I

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**