

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3530-2015 (O & M)
Date of decision:26.03.2015

Mufeed

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana.

Rajan Gupta, J. (oral)

There is a written request for an adjournment made on behalf of arguing counsel for petitioner on the ground that he is out of station.

Reply has, however, been filed by way of affidavit of Sidharth Dhanda, H.P.S. Deputy Superintendent of Police, Hathin, on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2. Same is taken on record.

Learned State counsel has referred to same and submits that after a thorough investigation, it has been found that petitioner is involved in the crime. His name is figured in the statement of prosecutrix recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. Besides, all the accused in the case are absconding and have been declared proclaimed offender.

In view of statement made by learned State counsel, no cause of action survives in this petition. Prayer for adjournment is hereby rejected as nobody has put in appearance to press the same.

Dismissed.

26.03.2015
sukhpreet

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE