

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-35298 of 2015
Date of Decision:-14.12.2015**

Jassi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. J.S. Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, Deputy A.G., Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.92 dated 10.6.2013, under Sections 148, 436, 427, 120-B and 149 IPC, registered at Police Station City, Hoshiarpur, District Hoshiarpur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon **CRM-M-10722 of 2015** titled 'Manjinder Singh vs. State of Punjab', decided by this Court vide order dated 28.4.2015, **CRM-M-5185 of 2015** titled 'Ravi Shankar @ Ravi vs. State of Punjab', decided vide order dated 23.3.2015, and **CRM-M-3154 of 2015** titled 'Charanjit Singh vs. State of Punjab', decided vide

order dated 23.3.2015, and submits that the case of the petitioner is similar to that to the aforesaid persons, who approached this Court vide aforesaid criminal miscellaneous petitions, wherein similarly placed accused have been granted regular bail by this Court. The petitioner is in custody since 10.6.2013 and as against total 19 prosecution witnesses, only one witness has been examined so far. Therefore, final conclusion of the trial will take sufficient long time.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Rattan Chand does not dispute the aforesaid facts.

In the light of the aforesaid reasons and taking into consideration the totality of the facts and circumstances, particularly when the other co-accused have already been admitted to regular bail and the trial is not going to be concluded in near future, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Needless to mention that nothing observed, here-in-above, would reflect on merits of the main case, in any manner, during the course of trial, as the same has been recorded for a limited purpose of deciding the present petition for regular bail.

December 14, 2015
Vijay Asija

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE