

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-35259 OF 2015 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 22nd DECEMBER, 2015

Sandeep Sharma & another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & another

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

* * * *

Present : Mr. A.P.S. Guliani, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. G. S. Salwara, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. H. P. S. Ishar, Advocate for respondent No.2.

* * * *

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.75 dated 11.02.2014 registered under Sections 406, 498-A, 323, 506 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Sector 5, District Panchakula and the consequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of written compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties before the mediation and conciliation centre of this court.

The FIR in this case was got registered by respondent No.2 against the petitioners who are her husband and mother-in-law respectively.

The parties had arrived at a settlement before the mediation and conciliation centre of this court. The complainant is present in court. A draft of ₹5,00,000/- has been handed over to her.

Copy of the same has been placed on record. The complainant states that she has no objection if the FIR is quashed. This statement has been made in view of the terms settled before the mediation and conciliation centre of this court.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions submits that petitioners are the only accused and respondent no.2 is the only aggrieved person in this FIR.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the FIR pending.

In view of the above and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052,** approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303,** the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all consequent proceedings conducted on the basis thereof are quashed qua the petitioners.

Needless to say that the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made before the mediation and conciliation centre of this Court.

22nd DECEMBER, 2015
'raj'

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE