

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35183-2015

Date of decision: 19.10.2015

Krishna

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Anshumaan Dalal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manoj Kumar Sangwan, DAG, Haryana.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks bail pending trial in FIR No.351 dated 06.09.2014 under Sections 304-B, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Sampla, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is not the main accused, she being the mother-in-law. Petitioner is inside the jail for the last more than one year. Main accused-husband is also inside the jail. He further submits that since prosecution evidence is still going on, conclusion of trial will take time. He prays for allowing the present petition.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Satbir Singh, Police Station Sampla, Rohtak, submits that since the allegations against the petitioner were direct and serious, she is not entitled for bail pending trial. He prays for dismissal of the present petition.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and after careful perusal of the record of the case, petitioner has been found entitled

for bail pending trial. It is so said because petitioner is inside the jail for the last more than one year. She is not main accused, being mother-in-law. Husband is also inside the jail. Further, since the prosecution evidence is going on, conclusion of trial will take some time.

In view of the above and without commenting anything further on merits, instant petition is allowed. Petitioner is directed to be released on bail pending trial on her furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.

Disposed of, accordingly.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

19.10.2015
vishnu