

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-3509 of 2015
Date of decision : 28.05.2015**

Hakmi Devi and others

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and Ors.

... Respondents

with

Crl. Misc. No. M-3490 of 2015

Balvir Kaur & Ors.

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and Anr.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Vikas Arora, Advocate
for petitioners in CRM No. M-3509 of 2015 and
for respondent no.2 in CRM No.M-3490 of 2015.

Mr. H.S. Maan, Advocate
for petitioners in CRM No. M-3490 of 2015 and
for respondents no.2 & 3 in CRM No.M-3509 of 2015.

Mr. K.S. Aulakh., AAG Punjab

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

Above-referred petitions are being disposed of by this common order being connected to each other.

By way of Crl. Misc. No. M-3509 of 2015, petitioners Hakmi Devi and two others are seeking quashing of FIR No. 74 dated 04.07.2011, registered under Sections 341, 323, 506, 148, 149 IPC, Police Station Kiratpur Sahib, District Rup Nagar while petitioners Balvir Kaur and two others had filed Crl. Misc. No. M-

3490 of 2015 and are seeking quashing of cross-version DDR No.12 recorded in the aforesaid FIR and consequent proceedings taken therein, on the basis of compromise.

Report has been received from the trial court after recording statements of the parties on compromise. Trial Court has also sent statements of parties recorded by it, which reveal that compromise is voluntary and without any pressure or coercion.

Learned State counsel submits that the parties in both the petitions are the persons involved in the FIR and cross-version.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the FIR pending.

In view of the statements made by the parties and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab & Anr. 2007(3) RCR(CrI.) 1052,** approved by Hon'ble the Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Ors. 2012(10) SCC 303,** the instant petitions are allowed and the aforesaid FIR and the cross-version DDR recorded therein and consequent proceedings conducted therein are quashed.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

28.05.2015
Sunil