

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Sr. No. 201

Case No. : C. W. P.No. 16662 of 2005

Date of Decision : March 30, 2015

Sukhwinder Kaur and others Petitioners
Vs.
State of Punjab and another Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL.

* * *

To be referred to Reporters or not ?

Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

* * *

Present : Mr. K. L. Arora, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Minakshi Goyal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. B. S. Sidhu, Advocate
for respondent no. 2.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. :

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the prayer in the writ petition seeking regularization has been accorded to them, but as regards the prayer as to how the period of service prior to regularization must be treated, no decision has been taken yet.

The petitioners will have an independent action if they are aggrieved by any decision that is taken about the manner of treatment of their prior service before the date of regularization.

With this liberty, the writ petition is disposed of.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

March 30, 2015

monika