

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.35012 of 2015
Date of Decision : 13.10.2015**

Jagat and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate
for Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate
for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This is a petition for quashing of order dated 18.9.2015 passed by the Sessions Judge, Faridabad.

Learned counsel states that before the Appellate Court the petitioners have been regularly appearing and only on one day they had sought an exemption which was not granted on the ground that affidavit had not been filed and even the nature of the urgent work had not been disclosed. He further states that though this fact is correct yet keeping in view the past conduct of the petitioners the impugned order declining their application and cancelling their bail bonds should not have been passed.

In my opinion, in case the averment regarding the previous

appearances of the petitioners is correct, the Appellate Court shall release them on bail to its satisfaction on their appearance on the date fixed.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

October 13, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**