

Sr. No. 244
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

VANDANA VERMA
2015.11.18 10:34

CRM No. M-37801 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.11.2015

Dalip Singh

--Petitioner

Vs

Brij Bhushan Sharma and others

--Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr. Nand Lal Sammi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

It is a matter of record that the petitioner has not availed his equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order. No reasons are forthcoming to bypass the said remedy.

In view of the above, petitioner is relegated to his equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order at the first instance, however, with liberty to the petitioner to approach this Court again by way of similar quashing petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., if necessity arises. It is further made clear that if the petitioner would file appropriate revision against the impugned summoning order within a period of one month from today, respondents shall not take the plea of limitation against the petitioner.

Disposed of accordingly.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

17.11.2015
vandana