

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-3774-2013 (O&M)

Date of decision: 20.8.2015

Narinder Singh & another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. J.S. Chandel, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate for the applicant.

Rajan Gupta, J.

In this petition under section 482 Cr.P.C., petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.271 dated 12.10.2011 (Annexure P-1) on the basis of compromise.

Mr. Sanjiv Bansal, Advocate was appointed as a Local Commissioner by the court at Patiala. He made a complaint that he inspected the spot in village Teipur on 11.10.2011. On his reaching the spot, accused Harjinder Singh picked up a brick to hit him and started abusing him. Another accused Narinder Singh went to his house to get a stick. He was threatened by the accused that he would be killed. They also stated that they would not accept any court order and any interference would result serious consequences. On his complaint, FIR under sections 353, 186, 506 read with Section 34 IPC was registered.

Petitioners now seek quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise entered into with the said Advocate. I am not convinced with the plea. It is inexplicable how complainant could have entered into a compromise in his own capacity when he was acting as a Local Commissioner on orders of the court. Similar view has been expressed by this court in case titled as *Harpreet Singh & another vs. State of Punjab & another*, CRM-M-24176 of 2014, decided on August 07, 2014.

I find no merit in the present petition. Same is hereby dismissed.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

20.8.2015
'Rajpal'